



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/769,939	01/26/2001	Brian Edward Calvert	PF01763NA	5499
20280	7590 11/03/2004	•	EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA INC 600 NORTH US HIGHWAY 45			QUINONES, ISMAEL C	
ROOM AS437		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LIBERTYVILLE, IL 60048-5343			2686	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/769,939	CALVERT ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ismael Quiñones	2686				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ntion. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one to later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's Arguments concerning that Mohi et al. (U.S. P.G.-Pub. 2003/0195008) is not entitled or cannot benefit from Mohi (U.S. Application No. 09/899,612) provisional filing date, were considered, but are not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, because the subject matter relied upon Mohi et al. (U.S. P.G.-Pub. 2003/0195008) is relevant and analogous to Mohi (U.S. Application No. 09/899,612), therefore the Examiner withholds that since Mohi (U.S. Application No. 09/899,612) claims priority to the provisional application No. 60/218,454 filed on July 14, 2000, it is determined that Mohi et al. (U.S. P.G.-Pub. 2003/0195008) has privilege to the earlier provisional date. Furthermore the Examiner clarifies to the Applicant that no agreement or acknowledgement was reached regarding that Mohi et al. (U.S. P.G.-Pub. 2003/0195008) is not entitled to the provisional application filing date of July 14, 2000, instead the Examiner recognizes instructing the Applicant to point out where elements in Mohi et al. (U.S. P.G.-Pub. 2003/0195008) differ or are absent from Mohi (U.S. Application No. 09/899,612) if Applicant believes so.

PATENT EXAMINER

10/20/04